

An introduction to the theory of Fourier transforms

Benjamin Yang

University College London, Department of Mathematics

UCL Undergraduate Mathematics Colloquium, October 2023

Definition 1

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. The space $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of equivalence classes of functions that satisfy

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f|^p dx < \infty$$

where two functions are equivalent if they differ only in a set of Lebesgue measure zero.

Example 2

Let $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ and $g(x) = e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$. Then $f \notin L^1(\mathbb{R})$, but $f \in L^2([1, \infty))$. However, $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for all $1 \leq p < \infty$.

What are Fourier transforms?

Definition 3

Let $f \in L^1$. Its Fourier transform is given by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx =: \mathcal{F}[f]. \quad (1)$$

- Other common definitions: $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx$,
 $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi ix\xi} f(x) dx$.
- Can be thought as the linear combination of an odd and even transform.
- If f is smooth one can integrate by parts. Can be hard to solve by hand otherwise.
- Nice identity: If $g(x) = e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$, then $\hat{g}(\xi) = e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2}}$.

Properties of Fourier transform

- ① Let $f, g \in L^1$. Then $\mathcal{F}[f * g] = \sqrt{2\pi} \hat{f} \hat{g}$ where

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-y)g(y)dy$$

is the convolution operator. In particular, $f * g \in L^1$.

- ② Let $f, g \in L^1$. Then $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f \hat{g} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f} g$.
- ③ Let $f \in L^1$. Then for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{F}[f(x+y)]$, $\mathcal{F}[e^{ixy} f(x)]$ and $\mathcal{F}[f(ax)]$ exist. Moreover, $\mathcal{F}[f(x+y)] = e^{i\xi y} \hat{f}(\xi)$, $\mathcal{F}[e^{ixy} f(x)] = \hat{f}(\xi - y)$ and $\mathcal{F}[f(ax)] = \frac{1}{|a|} \hat{f}\left(\frac{\xi}{a}\right)$.

Riemann-Lebesgue lemma

A very nice result regarding Fourier transforms is the *Riemann-Lebesgue lemma*.

Lemma 4

(Riemann-Lebesgue.) Let $f \in L^1$. Then

- 1 \hat{f} is continuous
- 2 $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}(\xi) = 0$
- 3 $f_j \rightarrow f$ in $L^1 \implies \hat{f}_j \rightarrow \hat{f}$ uniformly on \mathbb{R} .

Here $f_j \rightarrow f$ in L^1 means that $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N > 0$ such that $j > N \implies \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_j - f| < \epsilon$, and $\hat{f}_j \rightarrow \hat{f}$ uniformly means that $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N > 0$ such that $j > N \implies \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}_j - \hat{f}| < \epsilon$.

Question: Does the Fourier transform have an inverse operation?
If so, can we find an explicit representation for this inverse?

Answer: Yes and yes.

Definition 5

Let $f \in L^1$ such that $\hat{f} \in L^1$ as well. Then we have

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi. \quad (2)$$

The inverse Fourier transform of f is denoted by \check{f} . It is also denoted by $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[f]$.

Question: Let $f \in L^1$. Does the expression $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}[f])$ always make sense?

Answer: No, as $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \not\subset L^1(\mathbb{R})$: Consider $\frac{1}{\log(|x|+2)}$. Hence the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma does not guarantee $\hat{f} \in L^1$.

The Schwartz space

Definition 6

A function f belongs to the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ if $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\sup_x |x^\alpha \frac{d^\beta}{dx^\beta} f(x)| < \infty$ for all $\alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

The Schwartz space is non-trivial, as it contains every C^∞ -function on \mathbb{R} that has compact support, i.e. functions that vanish outside a closed interval. Alternatively, consider $g(x) = e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$.

Theorem 7

Given $f \in L^p$, there exists a sequence $\{\phi_n\} \subset \mathcal{S}$ such that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N > 0$ such that $n > N \implies \sup |f - \phi_n| < \epsilon$.

Hence it is enough to consider Fourier transforms in \mathcal{S} , as we can take $p = 1$.

The Schwartz space

Theorem 8

$$\phi \in \mathcal{S} \implies \hat{\phi} \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Theorem 9

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{d^k}{dx^k} f(x)\right] = \xi^k \hat{f}(\xi)$, $\frac{d^k}{dx^k} \hat{f}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}[(-x)^k f(x)]$.

Theorem 10

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Then

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

and the expression $\overset{\times}{\hat{f}} = f$ makes sense. In particular, we can say that the map $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a isomorphism.

Remarks on L^2

The space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ contains (equivalence classes of) square-integrable functions f satisfying $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f|^2 dx < \infty$. It is a Hilbert space under the inner product

$$(f, g) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f \bar{g} dx$$

where the bar denotes the complex conjugate. (Think as a continuous analogue of dot products.)

Definition 11

Let $f \in L^2$. It has L^2 -norm

$$\|f\|_2 := (f, f)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

A very important identity:

Theorem 12

(Plancherel, 1910.) If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then $\|f\|_2 = \|\hat{f}\|_2$.

Informally, this already tells us that the Fourier transform is an *unitary operator* on L^2 .

Operators on L^2

An operator T on L^2 maps a square-integrable function to another.

Example 13

The operator T given by $Tf = \frac{1}{x^2+1}f$ is an operator on L^2 .

If

$$\sup_{f \in L^2} \frac{\|Tf\|_2}{\|f\|_2} < \infty$$

then T is a bounded operator on L^2 .

Example 14

The above operator is bounded as

$$\left[\sup_{f \in L^2} \frac{\|Tf\|_2}{\|f\|_2} \right]^2 = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|f|^2}{(x^2+1)^2} dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f|^2 dx} \leq \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f|^2 dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f|^2 dx} = 1.$$

Definition 15

Let T be an invertible bounded operator on L^2 . Its inverse $T^{-1} : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ satisfies $TT^{-1} = T^{-1}T = \text{Id}$. Here Id is the identity operator $\text{Id} : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$, $\text{Id}(f) = f$.

Definition 16

Let T be a bounded operator on L^2 with inverse T^{-1} . Its adjoint T^* is given by

$$(Tf, g) = (f, T^*g) \text{ where } f, g \in L^2.$$

If $T = T^*$, we call T a self-adjoint operator. If $T^* = T^{-1}$, i.e. $TT^* = T^*T = \text{Id}$, then T is a unitary operator.

Fourier transforms as unitary operators

Theorem 17

The Fourier transform defined in (1) is a unitary operator on L^2 .

Proof.

First suppose $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$ and equip \mathcal{S} with the same inner product $(f, g) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f \bar{g} dx$ as L^2 . Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{F}f, \mathcal{F}g) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f} \overline{\hat{g}} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f} \check{\check{g}} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f \mathcal{F}[\check{\check{g}}] dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f \bar{g} dx = (f, g).\end{aligned}$$

Replace $\mathcal{F}g$ by g gives $(\mathcal{F}f, g) = (f, \mathcal{F}^{-1}g)$, so $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}^{-1}$. By Theorem 7 the result can be extended to L^2 . \square

Fourier transforms as unitary operators

Remark 1

Why do we have $\overline{\widehat{g}} = \check{\check{g}}$?

Proof.

$$\widehat{g}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ix\xi} g(x) dx.$$

Call $a(x) + ib(x) := e^{-ix\xi} g(x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ix\xi} g(x) dx} &= \overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(x) + ib(x) dx} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(x) dx - i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(x) dx \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix\xi} \overline{g(x)} dx \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly $\check{\check{g}}(\xi)$. □